2008 GENERAL SESSION FISCAL NOTE WORKSHEET XI (Revised Jan. 2008)

Agency:	Utah State Office of Education]	Bill Number		SB48 3rd Su	bstitu	te		
	Ben Leishman								
	Requested By								
	•			Fax/Electronic Mail Transmittal					
Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst			Date: February 17, 2008						
W310 State Capitol Complex					10014417 17, 2	000			
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-5310			Name: Ben Leishman						
538-1034 / Fax 538-1692									
		J	Fax Number:						
Please return to	Fiscal Analyst by: February 15, 2008								
TITI E OF DII	L: EQUALIZATION OF SCHOOL CAPITA		TI AVELINI	MMC	hy Canatar De	n D	Fastman		
TITLE OF BIL									
This Bill Takes I	Effect: On Passage X On July	/ 1	60 Days a	after	session X	Othe	r <u>5/5/2008</u>		
Bill Carries Own	Appropriation:								
					TT ON				
	FISCAL IMPACT OF PRO)POS	ED LEGIS	LA	TION				
		FY	2008 Supp.		FY 2009		FY 2010		
A. REVENUE I	IMPACT BY SOURCE OF FUNDS								
1. General Fund									
2. Uniform School Fund - Education Fund									
3. Transportation Fund									
4. Collections									
5. Other Funds (List Below)									
		┿							
CI 1E 1		+							
6 Local Funds				ф		ф			
7. TOTAL		\$	-	\$	-	\$	<u> </u>		
B. EXPENDITU									
By Source of Fu									
1. General Fu		—							
2. General Fund, One Time		┿			*** *********************************				
3. Uniform School Fund - Education Fund		+			\$28,711,100		\$28,711,100		
3. Transportation Fund		+-							
4. Collection		┼							
5. Other Fun	ds (List Below)	+-							
		+-							
6. Local Fundament	ds	+-							
7. TOTAL	us	\$	_	\$	28,711,100	\$	28,711,100		
By Expenditure	Category	Ψ	-	Ψ	20,711,100	Ψ	20,711,100		
	Vages and Benefits	T							
2. Travel	vages and Benefits	+							
3. Current Ex	xpenses	+							
4. D.P. Curre									
5. Capital Ou		+							
6. D.P. Capit		+							
7. Other (Specify) Capital Outlay and Enrollment Growth		1			\$28,711,100		\$28,711,100		
8. TOTAL	·	\$	-	\$	28,711,100	\$	28,711,100		
	FUTURE YEARS?				, , ,	-			
ì	ct in first two years, indicate if there will be any	impac	t in future ven	rs. a	nd explain Als	o. ind	icate anv		
	ges in fiscal impact beyond the first two years. ()					.,			
			, J		• /				

Cathy DudleyMSP Budgetand Property Tax Specialist538-7667February 15, 2008Prepared ByTitleAgencyUSOEPhone No.Date

Bill Number: SB48 3rd Substitute Bill Title: EQUALIZATION OF SCHOOL CAPITAL OUTLAY FUNDING by Senator Dan R. Eastman

D. Identify Sections of the Bill That Will Generate the Additional Workload or Cost Increase

E. Expenditure Impact Details (*Ties to totals in Section C*)

List and document methodology and/or assumptions used in determining need for workload and cost increase.

List number, type, and step ranges of personnel required, including benefits.

List details of other impacted expenditure categories as shown in Section C.

List additional space requirements and cost associated with requirements of this bill.

(USE ATTACHMENTS IF NECESSARY.)

F. No Fiscal Impact or Will Not Require Additional Appropriations?

Specify why this bill will have no fiscal impact on your agency or institution.

Specify how you will reallocate workloads, resources, or funding sources to eliminate need for additional appropriations. (USE ATTACHMENTS IF NECESSARY.)

G. If Bill Carries It's Own Appropriation:

Indicate if the amount appropriated is adequate to meet the purposes of the bill.

Are there future additional costs anticipated beyond the appropriation in the bill?

This legislation increases the Capital Outlay Foundation and Enrollment Growth Programs by \$28,711,100 for a total of \$56,000,000. This bill contains a coordinating clause which supersedes the ongoing base budget supporting the Capital Outlay Foundation Program and the Enrollment Growth Program of \$27,288,900 with a total of \$56,000,000 for both programs, a net increase of \$28.7 million.

The additional funds allow current benefiting school districts to receive more funds than they are receiving in the existing, ongoing Capital Outlay Foundation program with a few more school districts receiving some funding. The attached spreadsheet shows the proposed funding, using the same tax collection numbers, WPUs, and tax rates as calculated for the FY08 year.

The current statute holds school districts harmless if they have levied the 0.002400 tax rate in the previous two years. It may be beneficial for districts, under this proposed legislation, to have some sort of transition period for the hold harmless to the new 0.00300 level but changing the tax rate limit to 0.003000, as specified in the legislation.

On or before December 31 of each year, the State Board of Education shall provide a county treasurer with audited enrollment information from the fall enrollment audit.

H. Impact on Local Governments, Businesses, Associations, and Individuals

Specify requirements in the bill that drive the impact on local governments.

Indicate costs or savings that are **DIRECT and MEASURABLE**. If direct and measurable data are not available, are there areas that potentially could have a fiscal impact? (USE ATTACHMENT IF NECESSARY.) Local School Districts/Charter Schools:

The school districts who are receiving funds in the current Capital Outlay Foundation program would receive more funding due to the increase in the appropriation as well as a few more districts receiving funding.

For those school districts receiving additional allocations, there is a provision to reduce the certified property tax rate the next year to offset the amount of new state capital outlay allocations. School districts that impose the new certified tax rate receive the same amount of funding, with a different mix of funding sources (less property tax, more state allocations). To receive additional property tax revenue school districts would go through Truth in Taxation hearings.

For school districts in a county of the first class, they shall impose a capital outlay levy of at least .0006 per dollar of taxable value. The county treasurer then shall distribute those revenues to school districts within the county based on 25% of the revenues to be distributed in proportion to a school district's percentage of the total enrollment growth and 75% in proportion to a school district's percentage of the total prior year enrollment in all of the school districts within

This is a draft fiscal note response from the Utah State Office of Education (USOE) and may be revised in the future. This fiscal note input draft does not imply endorsement of this bill by the State Board of Education or USOE.